ERC: Do we need it? Application and evaluation.

Zdeněk Strakoš

Charles University

May 25, 2021

I. ERC - why to care about?

- ERC was born within the EU program called IDEAS.
 It foster the initiative of individual researchers.
- It aims at the growth of leaders of the European science.
 To lead means to take the responsibility and to serve.

I. ERC - why to care about?'

- ERC was born within the EU program called IDEAS.
 It foster the initiative of individual researchers.
- It aims at the growth of leaders of the European science.
 To lead means to take the responsibility and to serve.

A personal recognition?

I. ERC - why to care about?

- ERC was born within the EU program called IDEAS.
 It foster the initiative of individual researchers.
- It aims at the growth of leaders of the European science.
 To lead means to take the responsibility and to serve.

A personal recognition?

Gen 11, 4:

Come, let us build ourselves a city and a tower with its top in the sky, and so make a name for ourselves ...

I. What does a good ERC application require from us?

- A considerable long term effort. Leaving the "comfort" of the "Czech science" can be painful.
- Being humble. Being aware of the positive as well as negative sides.
 Avoiding games.
- Realizing that even an unsuccessful ERC application can be very rewarding, providing that it is prepared properly.
- If it is understood as "an exercise in writing", then it can hardly be done properly.

II. How to do ERC application right?

II. Evaluation process

- The panel chair gets the essential information to distribute the work throughout the panel.
- Panel members evaluate individually the assigned proposals (B1).
- First meeting of the panel. From a quarter to a third of the proposals is retained for evaluation, others are rejected (B or C).
- Remote experts and panel members evaluate individually the whole proposals.
- Second meeting of the panel. Interviews. Ordered list of proposals retained for funding (A), the rest (A or B).

II. Basic guidelines for applicants

- StG: Support for excellent PI at the career stage at which they are starting they own independent research team or programme.
- CoG: Support for excellent PI at the career stage at which they may still be consolidating their own independent research team or programme.
- AdG: Support for excellent PI at the career stage at which they are already established research leaders with a recognized track record of research achievements.

PI must demonstrate a ground-breaking nature and feasibility of the scientific proposal that must include risk.

II. Strongly advisable structure of ALL PARTS

- WHAT is the problem to be investigated?
- WHY is the proposed work on the problem worth funding?
- **HOW** the problem can be approached so that a substantial progress towards the goals can be expected?
- WHO are the PI and the team? Does the previous record establish credibility for getting close to a breakthrough?

II. Application essentials

- Acronym and Title
- Primary panel specification
- Secondary panel(s) specification
- Keywords
- Abstract

II. Distribution of information - B1

Part B1 (to be evaluated at step 1 and step 2):

- Essentials.
- Extended Synopsis
- PI's CV and Track Record

WHAT, WHY, HOW (feasibility), WHO

While working on the proposal, put in front of your eyes the very few questions that reviewers will have to answer!

II. Distribution of information - B1

- Synopsis: Invitation to read the whole proposal. It must make the reader curious and full of expectations on what will follow in Part B2. If it does not, the case is lost.
- Outcome of the previous work. Knowledge, applications, influence on the young generation. Publications and lectures are only means of communication of the results.
- All facts about the PI and the previous achievements should be supported by links to the further sources of information whenever possible. An absolute contradiction with GAČR.

II. Distribution of information - B2

Part B2 (evaluated at step 2 only):

- State-of-the art and objectives
- Methodology
- Resources (including project costs)

WHAT, WHY, HOW (methodology), WHO

II. Characteristics of strong proposals

- Originality. Clarity.
- They get enthusiastic support from a part of the referees (leading experts on the particular topic), and they convince the rest.
- Solid, self confident language, without exaggerations.
 World scale state of the art horizon.

Mistakes within the ERC evaluation process can happen (and they do). Try to give it a minimal chance in your case.

ERC imperatives

It is not required that the goals are fully reached. Unlike in the Czech research environment, in frontier research nobody asks for declaring deliverables years ahead (in science it makes no sense whatsoever).

However, it must be clear that the outcome will be with a high probability so substantial that it is worth to retain the proposal for funding.

ERC imperatives

It is not required that the goals are fully reached. Unlike in the Czech research environment, in frontier research nobody asks for declaring deliverables years ahead (in science it makes no sense whatsoever).

However, it must be clear that the outcome will be with a high probability so substantial that it is worth to retain the proposal for funding.

CONSISTENCY

A quote from Jana Kalbáčová - Vejpravová:

Do not try to apply for ERC. DO IT!